Rik Kowall, Supervisor Terry Lilley, Clerk Mike Roman, Treasurer



Trustees Scott Ruggles Michael Powell Andrea C. Voorheis Liz Fessler Smith

3 4

2

5 6

7 8

11 12 13

9 10

14 15

16 17 18

19

20 21

22 23 24

25 26 27

> 32 33 34

39 40 41

46 47 48

53 54 55

56 57

58 File No. a.

Public Hearing:

17-004 4 Corners Square

WHITE LAKE TOWNSHIP

7525 Highland Road • White Lake, Michigan 48383-2900 • (248) 698-3300 • www.whitelaketwp.com

WHITE LAKE TOWNSHIP PLANNING COMMISSION

White Lake Oaks Golf Course 991 N. Williams Lake Rd. White Lake, MI 48386 March 16, 2017 @ 7:00 p.m.

Mr. Anderson called the meeting to order at 7:00 p.m. and led the Pledge of Allegiance. Roll was called: Ms. Dehart and Mr. Meagher were excused.

ROLL CALL:

Steve Anderson - Chairperson

Merrie Carlock

Debby Dehart - Excused

Mark Fine

Rhonda Grubb - Secretary Scott Ruggles, Board Liaison David Lewsley - Vice Chairperson

Peter Meagher - Excused

Gail Novak-Phelps - Chairperson

Sean O'Neil, AICP, Community Development Director

Jason Iacoangeli, Staff Planner

Terry Bramer, Township Engineer (Johnson & Anderson) Greg Elliott, Township Consultant (McKenna & Associates)

Lynn Hinton, Recording Secretary

Visitors: 47

Also Present:

Approval of Agenda

Ms. Novak-Phelps moved to approve the agenda as presented. Ms. Grubb supported and the MOTION CARRIED with a voice vote. (7 yes votes)

Approval of Minutes

a. January 19, 2017

Ms. Novak-Phelps moved to approve the minutes of January 19, 2017 as corrected. Mr. Fine supported and the MOTION CARRIED with a voice vote. (7 yes votes)

Call to the Public (for items not on the agenda)

Mr. Anderson opened the discussion for public comment on items not listed on the agenda, but none was offered.

59 Location:

Located on the northeast corner of Union Lake Road and Cooley Lake Road, currently zoned Neighborhood Mixed Use (NMU) identified as parcel number.

currently zoned Neighborhood Mixed Use (NMU), identified as parcel numbers 12-36-478-028 (1451 Union Lake Road), 12-36-476-030 (8752 Cooley Lake Road), 12-36-476-029 (8198 Cooley Lake Road), and 12-36-476-025 (8080

Cooley Lake Road), consisting of approximately 6.25 acres.

Request:

1) Special Land Use

2) Preliminary Site Plan Approval

Applicant:

4 Corners Square, LLC

Randy Martinuzzi

29580 Northwestern Hwy, Suite 1000

Southfield, MI 48034

Terry Bramer of Johnson & Anderson reviewed his reports dated February 23, 2017 (first review), and March 8, 2017 (second review). Their review is based on compliance with the township engineering standards. He gave a brief overview of items pertaining to Grading/Paving, Storm Sewer, Sanitary Sewer; and Water Main. Preliminary plans are in compliance, and a final review is planned for the next phase. Noted is the contamination on the site and the applicant has indicated that a due care plan will be submitted by the Developer.

Ms. Carlock stated that this property has impervious surfaces and rooftops and she questioned where the detention pond would be located. Mr. Bramer responded that those details have not yet been presented, but they are expected to meet Oakland County requirements. Mr. O'Neil added that neighbors on the lake are concerned with excavated materials going towards the lake. Mr. Bramer stated that a due care plan is required, and it has to be a filed document addressing containment of any materials and airborne issues. The developer has known this from day one and is willing to address this.

Greg Elliott of McKenna Associates, read his report of March 7, 2017 in detail and the report is attached for reference. They are comfortable with the basic layout and design represented by the preliminary site plan. This is a 6.25 acre site consisting of 4 parcels, approved for strip mall development. The proposal is for multiple-family residential with commercial uses, which is supported by the township master plan. They are recommending approval to the Township Board subject to the following conditions: (1) Drivethru facilities and outdoor seating will require a special land use approval; (2) Variances from the building placement provisions of the ordinance and from the height provision of the NMY District will be required for the layout to be finally approved; (3) The Planning Commission finds that a modification of the access management standards contained in Section 6.4 of the Zoning Ordinance is justified; (4) A variance to allow for a reduction in the required number of off-street parking spaces shall be required for the layout to be finally approved; (5) If the distance from the commercial parking areas to Cooley Lake and Union Lake Roads' rights of way is less than 20 ft., a 30 inch masonry screen wall with not less than a 5 ft. greenbelt adjacent to the street side of it shall be incorporated into the design of these areas; (6) A sidewalk connection to provide access to the sit down restaurant site from the residential portion of the site shall be provided; (7) The landscape plan is not approved at this time and shall be subject to review with the final site plan; (8) If free-standing signs are contemplated, a variance will be required to allow them; (9) The trash enclosure located east of Ammar Drive shall be relocated to the east side of the carport.

Mr. Lewsley referenced residential parking with regard to a recommendation for a variance to be waived to allow for 9 spaces. Looking at the situation overall, they are proposing 159 spaces with 39 land banked. His calculations come to 1.84 spaces, including the garage, per unit. He knows that less than 2 per condo unit would be inadequate. Mr. Elliott noted that only the ZBA can allow less than what is required. The land bank can be rectified to install it.

Mr. O'Neil reviewed the Fire Department's report dated March 3, 2017. The have been quite involved with reviewing the plan especially since there will be elevators in the development. The Fire Department had the following conditions: (1) Future submittals shall include the Fire Department connections (FDC) in the site plan legend; (2) All fire lanes, and the engineered turn-around at the northwest side of the proposed apartment building shall be posted NO PARKING – FIRE LANE. Signs shall have a minimum dimension is 12 inches wide by 18 inches high, and red lettering on white reflective background; (3) A metal sign shall be posted on each remote FDC indicating the building served. In addition, land divisions

will have to be applied for and the applicant is aware they have to go to the township Assessing Department.

The applicant, Randy Martinuzzi, indicated that when they first put this under contract, they envisioned something different from where they are today. During due diligence, they learned of the significant environmental problems on the southwest corner of the property, which has been under DEQ guidance and oversight.

They came to the township with a concept plan and were advised that this property in 2005 had been master planned with the vision of creating 4 corners square and putting up a mixed use, both residential and commercial. As they progressed, they learned that the prior owners had agreed to put a restrictive covenant across the front that forbade residential due to the contamination. They talked about the fact to rezone to NMU with commercial/residential above, but they weren't able to do this. They went with a standard strip center and tried to mimic the idea or intent of having taller buildings. He noted that they will need a variance because the buildings are not tall enough. They are proposing 1 story at 20 ft. versus the required 2 stories at 25 ft.

They attempted to bring the intent with respect to what is involved with NMU. They met with Taco Bell, who gave options of what it could do. Even though only 1-story, they tried to bring perspective of having a 2-story building. They took the same synergy and brought it into the residential element. The northern elevation will face Weatherstone.

Additionally, they took comments from the residents at the August meeting and have since revised their plan. Many people that lived on the lake were concerned the building would peer over the lake. As a result of that concern, the west building was pushed back as far as they could. Union Lake Road to their property is at an 18 ft. elevation. By putting in underground parking, they were able to put in elevator to hopefully attract an upscale resident and involve the senior community. They also have to meet greenbelts and they were able to do this by doing underground parking. Further, by moving everything back, they will install underground detention under the parking in the rear of the property. He continued that for 20 years, there hasn't been any detention on the property. Everything flows now into Cooley Lake. They will take all the water from the site to the back, treat it, and release. This will take out salt and oils, etc.

With respect to the site, they propose a restaurant, which they found is warranted and they want to do something nice with full service. They have informal agreements, and he has been told that the ground water is clean that is feeding the site. The contamination is not deep and they are looking forward to working with BP, but are disappointed that in 20 years no one has held BP's feet to the fire. With help from the county Health Department and White Lake Township, they will get this done.

Mr. Martinuzzi wanted to address some of the issues with setback, height, and parking. Regarding parking, they have zero concern. Tim Horton is taking 2,300 sq. ft., where they typically would take 1,700 sq. ft. Tim Horton's says 80% of their business goes through the drive-thru. There is a significant requirement for parking that they don't anticipate they will use. On the residential side, they have 7 parking additional spaces. By definition, Tim Horton's is a morning and lunch type business and will not conflict with the restaurant. They have shared parking and will have cross parking easements. With respect to Taco Bell, they have done everything required within the zoning with the exception of 3 parking spaces. They have the 20 ft. setback for greenbelt and met every requirement.

With regard to lot division, they have submitted paperwork to reconfigure boundary lines with the Assessor. They delayed it since the site has been changed 5 times. They needed to get definitive boundary lines.

He continued that they moved all buildings off Cooley Lake Road, and all the buildings will be with a 0 ft. setback, which is similar to what is to the south of them. Referencing the concept of 2005, they feel they have met the intent of the vision of 4 Towns.

There was mention of 6,000 sq. ft. single use within a building. The commercial building is 9,000 sq. ft., and they understand they can't put a single tenant in there at 6,000 sq. ft. This is also not their intent, but can accommodate if need be.

The Oakland County Road Commission (RCOC) seems to be the biggest concern of the residents and they have discussed those concerns. In technical sense, problems that plague that intersection is not of their doing, but if they can help, it would be appreciated. A common concern is left hand turn on Cooley into the shopping center. They feel Wattey Boulevard will address this. They have added a decel lane going into Wattey to get into the complex very nicely. Once drivers understand the flow, it will work nicely. Also, anyone wanting to go into the center, currently have to make left turn. They've added a center turn lane and traffic going through the light will be unimpeded. The site is an improvement to the center that has been there for 30 years. They accommodated the right in/right out and full in/full out at Taco Bell. If there is stacking on Cooley Lake, they can use Wattey Boulevard. They have done their best, to the best of their ability, to deal with traffic concerns.

There was a recommendation from the consultant to discuss the dumpster. Weatherstone has residential so they will be enclosing dumpsters from view. If moved to the rear, there would be unnecessary noise from trucks. Also, when talking with Weatherstone, there is decay on the fence, and they have been asked to help support this. They are willing to do this to maintain the privacy of Weatherstone. They are proposing pine trees at 12 ft. tall, where the current fence is 6 ft. tall. They will have an immediate impact by putting the pine trees. They have also been advised that pine trees have issues with disease and they will use 3 different species. Smaller trees will be a curtain wall of trees. They want harmony with Weatherstone and feel this is a win-win.

With respect to the Fire Department's concerns, they met with the Fire Marshall and they helped get the turn radius at 40 ft. which is the largest right now in White lake. The Fire Department also required that the trucks need to be able to be within 30 ft. of a building. They put in a slight turn on Wattey to allow for the trucks to get to each building. He added that each building will be fully sprinkled. All of the requirements from the Fire Department have been met.

Commissioner comments:

Ms. Carlock asked about the plaza and the distance connecting the 2 buildings. Mr. Martinuzzi stated the connection will be enclosed with glass and covered.

Mr. Lewsley wanted to revisit the parking requirements. He questioned whether the underground parking would have assigned spaces. Mr. Martinuzzi responded that there would be 1 space per unit. They took a conservative approach of what they could get under the building. They have the expectation they will have 10 more spaces under the building, 36 ft. wide, which will allow for 3 cars under each unit, however the 1-bedroom units may lose a space. They had addressed the issue that a parking space needs to be 9 ft. wide and they may ask for a variance to go with 8.5 ft. spaces to allow for 4 spaces underground. Mr. Lewsley asked again if those are assigned spaces and Mr. Martinuzzi responded that they were. Mr. Lewsley noted that they are asking for a land bank of 9 spaces. His calculations still come to 1.89 parking spaces per unit. Realistically, people have more than one car and you would still need parking for visitors as well. He would like Mr. Martinuzzi to show the parking on the plans rather than a land bank. Mr. Martinuzzi stated the trees are pushed back, but if they have to put the spaces in, they will. Mr. Anderson noted that this could be addressed again in the future.

Mr. Anderson opened the public hearing at 8:25 pm.

Mike Batchick, 8847 Lakeview Drive, is representing the Cooley Lake Association, and all lake residents. Their concerns are with development and traffic, and the run off of water coming into the lake. Typically building codes are set up for blocks of land. The part of the complex on Cooley Lake Road literally has 20 ft. of trees and brush between the lake and the road. He sees the plan to widen the road, which now they would be paving away from the lake and going north on Union Lake Road. This creates a lot of traffic between 3-7pm. They feel the boulevard is a good idea, but all paved area and the slope of the land sends unfiltered water to the lake. Currently, the bulk of water runs off the road into the lake through a culvert. They would like to know what the deviation plan is for water coming in and the traffic situation. White Lake Township doesn't control the Road Commission and he'd like to have them here as part of the discussion/hearing. He also asked who did the traffic study. They will be adding 85 residents, which will be 100 more cars in the morning and evening. There will be boulevard traffic wanting to go south on Union Lake Road, and what will be done to mitigate this? This is something they will have to live with.

235 236

237 238

239

240 241 242

243 244 245

246

252 253 254

255 256 257

267

281

282

283

284

285 286 287

288 289

290

291 292 293 Bob Cococcetta, 8121 Springdale, indicated that they spoke to the developer and Mr. Martinuzzi and the plan seems to be ok and doesn't detract from value of their condos. He would like to see an 8 ft. high brick wall/fence between the two properties. The 170 proposed units will depend on them keeping it nice.

Mr. Anderson summarized a letter from Bill Mahrle, President of the Union Lake Shores Association. They are strongly in favor of the redevelopment, but have comments on access management being considered. He reviewed the ordinance and guidelines by RCOC, Semcog and MDOT. He feels the number of driveways and ingress/egress do not comply.

Mike Bullion, 8306 Cascade, is concerned with traffic. Currently, Cascade is a cut-thru street off Cooley Lake Road, and there are existing issues with speeders. He asked what would be done to divert traffic away from their street. He fears there will be more cut-thrus and there are children living on Cascade. The township needs to consider a type of changing light system or closing Cascade to a non-cut through street. Drivers will be frustrated and zoom through Cascade. This is a major concern for the residents on Cascade. He hopes the township hears their concerns. Also, the detention seems like it is being addressed.

Edwart Stockman, 9024 Cooley Lake, asked whether there has been an underground impact study of the site and whether borings have been taken. Mr. Martinuzzi confirmed they have done full Phase 1 and Phase 2 borings. There is a due care plan in place that has been submitted to DEQ and engineers will be on site through the entire process.

Randy Knox, 8549-8555-8526 Cooley Beach, stated he has seen the lake change over the years. When the county and Road Commission repaved, they put in a giant culvert into Cooley Lake. Weatherstone came in and they were assured the retention pond is for 100 year rain. After the first 6-12 months, they had 700 years rain. He also questioned overbuilding the site and suggested having less buildings. Further, why does the ordinance state the building have to be taller? Regarding ground water retention. this will not go into the ground, but rather down the hill and into Cooley lake. He'd like to see the water cut down the road and put in the boat launch on Union Lake.

Michael Drew, 8515 Cascade, questioned whether there would be excavation of the contamination on the BP site and if that soil will be removed. Mr. Martinuzzi responded that one reason why they need a variance for setbacks is because the ordinance requires the restaurant to be in this location. They will use carbon injection of 56 borings. The carbon eats up the diesel and gas and they will submit a plan for BP to DEQ. If they have to invade that, any soils will be removed and taken to a disposal site. Mr. Drew stated he talked to DEQ and they said as of a March 7 report, that 11 of those wells are contaminated and not clean. Mr. Martinuzzi indicated that monitoring wells are separate from water wells. There are 70 monitoring wells to Union Lake. They are down to 7 that are positive at this point. The water at 100 ft. down is not testing bad at this time.

Ken Pilarski, 8315 Cooley Beach Drive, is concerned with impervious areas. You can have impervious and you can have parking areas that absorb and percolate water to alleviate heavy metals and oils, etc. There is a tremendous amount of water with rainfall that runs off. Weatherstone has a detention pond. Retention does not allow water to run anywhere, but evaporates from the pond and percolates into the soil. A detention pond, as with Weatherstone, had orange/sedement run off into the lake that stretched into the main bay. He went into Weatherstone and saw they put in new sidewalks, parking driveways, etc. over a few months. He called DEQ to investigate. Mike from DEQ looked at this and a manager had a company inject chemicals into the water. Currently, all the run off goes into the 86-acre lake. He is concerned with the storage tank below this unit. The run off has to go somewhere, and he questioned what would happen if or when it ruptures. We have seen heavier rainfalls with global warming. There is also garbage, and cigarette butts on the beaches from Union Lake Road. All this run off will come into their lake. Also, he'd like to know if the homeowners will be paying the association for any kind of rupture.

Paula Smith 8641 Cooley Beach, asked how accurate the applicant's drawings are. This looks prison-like to her. She loves Taco Bell, but she is concerned that if these are luxury condos, there will be onion smells. Traffic is busy and the way the cars will come in is not good. She thinks they will make the left turn the developer doesn't want them to make. There is an accident waiting to happen. She feels the restaurant and Taco Bell will be a problem. And she also agrees with comments on water run-off.

Clark Pierson, 8281 Cooley Beach, is concerned with the parking issue. They will not be able to park at Weatherstone due to it being private. The only other place is across the street on Cooley Beach. If they are parking on both sides of the street, you won't be able to get a fire truck down there. He urges the board to consider the parking issue.

Dennis Letage, 8744 Townsend, asked the board to please consider southern Cooley Lake Road. It adding northbound traffic, they are already backed up.

Dale Mahrle, 7835 Locklin, West Bloomfield, representing the Union Lake Shores Association. Their main concern is traffic. Redevelopement of the center is a welcome site, but has to be done properly. The RCOC says 30k cars per day travel this area and both roads during peak hours operate at level of service F, which means (Failure). All 3 drives are in violation and do not follow the guidelines of Federal, MDOT, Semcog, RCOC or Clearzoning Section 6.4. Regarding the western drive, you can't discharge traffic into an intersection. There should be 400 ft., and there is only 170 ft. now, and is not supposed to discharge into a lane turning exclusively left. The middle drive discharges directly into the left turn lane. The easterly drive is directly adjacent to another drive on the neighboring site. According to guidelines, there should be 300 ft. separation on a road with a minimum speed limit of 40mph. Any vehicle coming out of site and existing on Cooley Lake Road and wants to turn left, will be impossible and a safety hazard. Traffic is a huge problem. He would think, with redesign, possibly use Union Lake Road for access to the site and eliminate left turns onto Cooley Lake Road. He asked what the ingress/egress is off the easterly driveway. Mr. O'Neil noted that the traffic engineer and the Road Commission is recommending this. Mr. Mahrle said reasonable access is not direct access. He thinks there will be t-bone accidents if turning left on Union Lake Road.

Mr. O'Neil indicated there will be another public hearing at final review if this moves to a final review. The meeting most likely will be at the White Lake Oaks facility again. There is a ZBA next week at this location since special land use requires a public hearing for drive thru and outdoor seating.

With no other comments, Mr. Anderson closed the public hearing at 9:06 pm.

Mr. Martinuzzi addressed comments from the public. Regarding the detention system, he would like the people on the lake to know that there is currently no detention system. If they look at what impervious today versus what they will put up, they are 42-43% already impervious. With the new site, they will be at 60%. Out of that 40% now, there is no detention or retention and everything runs into Cooley Lake, i.e., asphalt, repair service, gas station. He sees nothing but a pure upgrade. They will catch, keep from entering the lake, treat it, and release it. This is being worked on with the engineers. He doesn't have the exact system that will be in place yet. One resident on the lake said they contacted DEQ. The Weatherstone system was built per spec in 1999. Everything has changed since then; this is not the same world. There are new DEQ and health standards. Their proposed system is mandated and superior.

Regarding traffic issues, he noted that Mr. Mahrle is concerned with traffic on Cooley Lake, but others opposed Union Lake traffic. There will be people who are not happy, but understand the OCRC has blessed this and they did not want Taco Bell to be full access, but they have to be. They didn't want everyone coming out into the main traffic with people pulling in. There was a lot of discussion and this could be deemed more positive. The overall situation is that they are not responsible for and have not contributed to the existing problem at that intersection. Currently, the commercial strip is 18k sq. ft. They are going down to 10k sq. ft., 5 tenants versus 12 tenants. They put residential in the back. With the old center you have people coming and going. With the new center, you will add 180 vehicles per day versus 28k. There is zero impact. By adding Wattey Blvd and taking traffic there, they have helped alleviate traffic concerns of making a left on Cooley Lake Road and a left into the center. OCRC told them Wattey could be a "no left turn" if they wanted, but at the cost of theirs, they will put in a left turn lane.

They have done everything plausible and possible and everything OCRC and the township has suggested and required. They have done everything possible to make this viable. This is to the resident's benefit too.

 They are requesting so much density and putting in underground parking so they can bring water in from 250 ft. from the north and put sewers in. They have been requested to take water and sewer down Cooley Lake Road to get people off septic. By vacating, this is limiting the impact on Union Lake.

They have done everything to come in grasp of the 2005 plan with the 4 towns. He is not in favor of putting in a large 8 ft. brick wall. Trees are beautiful and a better result. There is a 6 ft. wall there now and Weatherstone currently overlooks commercial. They are putting in residential and have their own barrier with the building at 30 ft. high. They went with flat roof versus a truss system and could have gone to 40 ft. They did this to be neighborhood friendly.

With regard to the resident who didn't like the elevation of building and felt it looked like a prison, that's a matter of taste. They love it and think it looks beautiful.

Another comment regarding having to be 400 ft from the intersection, he will defer to OCRC, they blessed the plan. RCOC laid down the law and they followed it.

Regarding parking lot space, it is 188 with 20 banked, which will go to 2 per unit. The standard is 2.5, which is well beyond the norm of 80% of the communities. It's usually more like 2.25. Mr. Lewsley would like it more if he went with 2.25. Mr. Martinuzzi indicated they didn't want to cut into the land bank because that area has so much vegetation and their intention is to put up construction fencing and save as much as they can. It would be his preference to leave it and add the spaces if they are needed. If it is a requirement they would accept this and put in the 20 land banked. Mr. Lewsley indicated there are 20 proposed land banked, leaving 2 spaces per unit. Most families have 2 cars.

Commissioner Comments:

Ms. Novak-Phelps feels this is a nice development for that corner and a long time coming. Regarding Cascade, the ordinance officer and the township is there to hear concerns from residents and they will put traffic speeds up. Cooley Lake Road is very busy she agrees and understands how traffic is. She has a problem with not moving one section in front of Taco Bell over and would like to have one ingress/egress. She asked if a cross access easement is needed with Taco Bell. Mr. O'Neil responded that a connection will benefit O'Reily Auto Parts too. If the property to east won't sign, the township can require it, and condition a new tenant. Ms. Novak-Phelps feels good with signage on the far west side where the pork chop is will be imperative. People are making left turns where they shouldn't. Mr. O'Neil noted that this would be regulated by OCRC. Regarding buildings, Ms. Novak-Phelps thinks they will look very nice on that corner. This is consistent with the master plan and she appreciates the efforts Mr. Martinuzzi is making.

Mr. Fine agreed that Cooley Lake Road is tough, and he is also concerned. He would like to see something else, the t-bone effect is not good. From water run off to aesthetics, he appreciates effort.

 Ms. Carlock commented on impervious surface. Oakland County has been great, and additional borings are happening soon. When Taco Bell did their borings, they went further to the west and found them to be clean. Mr. Martinuzzi stated that BP stands that there is no contamination 20-25 ft. off Cooley Lake Road. The DEQ report shows plume going down to Union Lake, the surface drains to Cooley Lake. Ground water drains to Union Lake. There is 1,200 lineal feet of 12 ft. lines and treatment, then released, 36" line coming from Weatherstone's retention pond onto our property and to the lake. Ms. Carlock understands the parking situation, and that they are adding evergreens. Putting in a berm and any additional trees would be good. Traffic is an issue, and she is also concerned about Cascade Road.

Mr. Lewsley is pleased and appreciates the clean-up. Traffic is difficult, and the parking issue remains. He is not opposed to a waiver from commercial. Generally speaking, he feels this is a fine development.

Mr. Ruggles agreed with Mr. Lewsley's comments. He is happy someone is coming forward and addressing the contamination issues under the soil. He sympathizes with residents and feels it is great that Mr. Martinuzzi has come forward to address this. You can't put run off all on this development. There is an issue. He has addressed issues with run off on his property. He questioned how the ownership of the water system works and maintenance, and what happens when there is a breakdown. He was hesitant because of traffic issues on both roads at any time of the day. He agrees that traffic is

negligible when looking at square footage on the property. He would like to see parking addressed and more green spots added. There will be visitors to the residential with nowhere to park. He also feels that Cascade should be discussed further.

Ms. Grubb feels this will be great improvement. She is concerned with traffic, she read all the notes, but doesn't have suggestions. The road coming in off Cooley Lake Road will help. The applicant has put great efforts to do what he can regarding contamination and water run-off. She also feels there needs to be more parking and the land bank needs to be looked at for additional parking.

Ms. Novak-Phelps noted they are bringing in water and sewer and the whole area will be serviced. Currently everything is on septic and they will have the ability to go on sewer. It was noted that Weatherstone is on sewer and water. She feels this will be great improvement to the ground water and those who live there can connect themselves.

Mr. Anderson indicated he has looked at a lot of MU and this by far is an excellent plan. Being able to manage and use the 6.25 acres. Some residents didn't understand, but Mr. Martinuzzi has incorporated all elements. Parking will be important. The commission will have to do land bank, green space, etc. This will enhance the real estate value. Contamination is key, and he is glad they are cleaning the site up.

Mr. O'Neil stated that he looks at each project and applies the zoning process to each. All things this applicant is required to do will be followed through on. Our job at the staff level is to have balance. Everything has an impact. For at least the last 14 years, no one has asked him to do anything with this corner because of the expense. There is contamination, traffic, as much as we wanted it taken care of, this is a balance. What was there was the most unsightly part of the township, right in your backyard. It is important for your concerns to be addressed. There are certainly more pros than cons with this project. Traffic woes have not been addressed by the county for decades. You should all call the RCOC, the township can't do anything to fix this. The township has applied all of its standards. This applicant did not create these problems. Take a close look at the benefits versus the detriments. All four towns need redevelopment in this area and White Lake Township will hopefully be a catalyst to further improvements.

Mr. Martinuzzi addressed the detention system for Mr. Ruggles. The system runs through the whole site and each tenant pays for this. It is important to them to have 2 drive-thru's and outdoor patio seating. He asked for a ruling today so he has something to take back to Tim Horton's and Taco Bell. Mr. O'Neil stated that when looking at this, we are dealing with lighting and noise and to be mindful of that. When this comes back for another public hearing, the board will want to know hours of operation, noise levels, lighting levels, etc. Mr. Martinuzzi agreed and stated he will have screening to the best of their ability.

Ms. Novak-Phelps moved in File No. 17-004 4 Corners Square to table the Special Land Use for the drive-thru and outdoor seating. Mr. Fine supported and MOTION CARRIED with voice vote.

Ms. Novak-Phelps moved in File No. 17-004 4 Corners Square to recommend to the Township Board approval of the Preliminary site plan to include the comments of the staff, engineer and consultant, and also being contingent upon the variances being approved by the ZBA. Mr. Fine supported and the MOTION CARRIED with a roll call vote: Anderson – yes; Fine – yes; Grubb – yes; Carlock – yes; Ruggles – yes; Lewsley – yes; Novak-Phelps – yes. (7 yes votes)

Mr. O'Neil announced to the public that there would be a public hearing at the ZBA next Thursday at this location, White Lake Oaks, and the Township Board will hold a special meeting on March 28 @ 7:00 pm at the Dublin Senior Center. That meeting will not be a public hearing so the residents will not get a notification in the mail.

Liaison Reports:

Ms. Novak-Phelps reported that the ZBA approved the Hobby Lobby and Ulta Stores coming in, but denied another case due to a fence violation.

Mr. O'Neil noted that the April 6, 2017 meeting would be canceled and possibly the April 20, 2017 meeting as well. There may be a public hearing at this location in May on the 4 Corners development.

 Mr. Ruggles reported that the Township Board purchased 25 acres of land that it had been working on for two years. There are plans for the township community to move forward. There was a previous assessment of the existing township hall to bring it up to standard and the cost was \$2 million. The township also had a fire truck repaired last month for \$120k versus \$150k for a new truck. A new rescue truck was also purchased.

Ms. Grubb stated that there was no Parks & Rec meeting last month to report on.

476 477 478

470

471 472

473 474

475

Communications:

479

Next meeting dates:

480 481

Regular Meeting – April 6, 2017 (Canceled)

482

Regular Meeting – April 20, 2017 (Possible cancellation)

483

486

Adjournment

484 485

Ms. Novak-Phelps moved to adjourn the meeting at 10:05 p.m. Ms. Carlock supported and the MOTION CARRIED with a voice vote. (7 yes votes)