CHARTER TOWNSHIP OF WHITE LAKE

Approved Minutes of the Regular Board of Trustees Meeting

April 19, 2016

Supervisor Baroni called the meeting to order at 7:00 p.m. He then led the Pledge of Allegiance.

Clerk Lilley called the roll:

Present: Greg Baroni, Supervisor

Terry Lilley, Clerk
Mike Roman, Treasurer
Rik Kowall, Trustee
Scott Ruggles, Trustee
Mike Powell, Trustee
Andrea Voorheis, Trustee

Also Present: Lisa Hamameh, Attorney

Sean O'Neil, Planning Director

Jeanine Smith, Assessor

Amy Bertin, Recording Secretary

Clerk Lilley amended the Agenda as follows: Remove Items 10B, Request from Resident Regarding Property Located at Bennington and Williams Lake Road; 11A, Request to Secure Bids for Fire Station 2 and 3 Parking Lots Resurface and to Provide Proper Drainage; 11B, Request to Waive Fee of Massage Therapist for Dublin Senior Center; Add Item 13B, Executive Session, Motion to Recess into Closed Session to Consider Purchase of Real Property in Accordance with MCL 15.268 (d).

It was MOVED by Clerk Lilley, SUPPORTED by Trustee Kowall to approve the Agenda as amended: Remove Items 10B, Request from Resident Regarding Property Located at Bennington and Williams Lake Road; 11A, Request to Secure Bids for Fire Station 2 and 3 Parking Lots Resurface and to Provide Proper Drainage; 11B, Request to Waive Fee of the Massage Therapist for Dublin Senior Center; Add Item 13B, Executive Session, Motion to Recess into Closed Session to consider Purchase of Real Property in Accordance with MCL 15.268 (d).

Trustee Powell questioned the reason for tabling Item 10B.

Atty. Hamameh stated at last month's meeting it was suggested this Item come back with a proposed ordinance to be adopted or presented for First Reading. In addition to having the ordinance amendment, the intent was to have an agreement executed by the property owner. At this point nothing has been presented to the Township Board with regard to any factors to apply to a new property owner with regard to those issues.

Trustee Powell suggested leaving it on the Agenda to discuss the issue on its merit rather than as part of an ordinance amendment. It is not right to put a resident off at the timing of the Township. The applicant is here and this deserves some discussion at tonight's meeting.

Whereupon the motion was amended as follows:

It was MOVED by Clerk Lilley, SUPPORTED by Trustee Kowall to approve the following Amendments to the Agenda: Remove Items 11A, Request to Secure Bids for Fire Station 2 and 3 Parking Lots Resurface and to Provide Proper Drainage; 11B, Request to Waive Fee of Massage Therapist for Dublin Senior Center; Add Item 13B, Executive Session, Motion to Recess into Closed Session to Consider Purchase of Real Property in Accordance with MCL 15.268 (d). The MOTION PASSED by a voice vote (7 yes votes).

PUBLIC COMMENTS

Kelly Feeman. She is a resident on White Lake. She is proposing the Township look into adopting an ordinance that would limit the amount of docks placed along the shoreline of White Lake. More docks are being placed with only 50 ft. lot parcels. Highland Township has adopted an ordinance which limits the amount of docks placed per amount of footage along the shoreline. This creates an issue in that half of White Lake is in Highland and half in White Lake Township. She provided the Trustees with ordinance language which has already been developed by other townships.

Martin Ciurlik, 9530 Elizabeth Lake Rd. He is aware that some of the Township Board members were present at the most recent White Lake Citizens League meeting. It was brought up during the meeting that the material dredged from White Lake and concerning its placement should have been guided by the governance of the White Lake Ordinances themselves. There was no permit pulled. He was curious as to where the members stood on that position. He was informed that the issued is addressed under Agenda Item 11F.

Patte Day, White Lake Citizens League. She also attended the most recent meeting and was seated right next to Duane Roskoskey who is with the MDEQ. It was never said the Township was required to be guided by the ordinance language. There was an anonymous letter circulated recently which had her personal email address and cell phone number on it. Mr. Roskoskey revealed he knew Mr. Ciurlik and had forwarded an email to him with her personal information. Mr. Ciurlik is the one who had possession of a private email with her personal information on it and distributed it to the masses. It is on Facebook. She has received emails and horrible phone calls. It is outrageous. If he was responsible for the distribution of that letter with her personal information on it, she would like him to address that issue.

CONSENT AGENDA

A. LIST OF BILLS

- **B. REVENUE AND EXPENSES**
- C. CHECK DISBURSEMENT REPORT
- D. DEPARTMENT REPORTS
- 1. POLICE DEPARTMENT
- 2. FIRE DEPARTMENT
- 3. TREASURER'S REPORT
- 4. COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT
- 5. 2016 FIRST QUARTER OVERTIME REPORT
- E. BUDGET AMENDMENT
- F. PROCLAMATION DECLARING MAY 2016 AS MENTAL HEALTH MONTH
- G. PROCLAMATION DESIGNATING APRIL 2016 AS FAIR HOUSING MONTH IN OAKLAND COUNTY
- H. APPROVAL OF APPOINTMENTS TO SENIOR ADVISORY COUNCIL

It was MOVED by Trustee Ruggles, SUPPORTED by Trustee Voorheis to approve the Consent Agenda. The MOTION PASSED by a voice vote (7 yes votes).

MINUTES

A. MARCH 15, 2016

It was MOVED by Trustee Powell, SUPPORTED by Treasurer Roman to approve the Minutes dated March 15, 2016. The MOTION PASSED by a voice vote (7 yes votes).

B. APRIL 5, 2016

It was MOVED by Trustee Ruggles, SUPPORTED by Trustee Powell to approve the Minutes dated April 5, 2016. The MOTION PASSED by a voice vote (7 yes votes).

Clerk Lilley noted for the audience members present at tonight's meeting that today was the filing deadline for elected offices in White Lake Township. There is a sheet available with the names of those who will be put on the ballot. There are two candidates for supervisor, two candidates for clerk, and eight trustee candidates. There is only one candidate listed for treasurer.

PRESENTATION

A. 2015 AUDIT REPORT

Pam Hill, Audit Partner, Plante Moran. Also present tonight is Amanda Cronk who was the manager on the audit. A packet of the financial statements were presented to each of the Board members. Tonight they will highlight some information with a brief graph presentation.

She first brought attention to the audit opinion letter. The Township did receive an unmodified opinion which is the highest level of assurance the Township can receive from their auditors.

Amanda Cronk commented on the General Fund, dealing first with revenues. In 2015 there was a slight increase in revenue, due to the sale of the Porter Road property which is a one-time increase. The other revenue streams have remained fairly consistent. The largest revenue source is state shared revenue, the second being property taxes. Property values were at a higher level in 2009, dropping significantly in 2012. It will take until approximately 2024 to rebound to their high level in 2009. These slow value increases are important to consider during budget time. Even if values rise, the taxable value is limited by the Headlee Act and Proposal A.

General Fund expenditures for 2015 did increase slightly over 2014. The capital outlay line did decrease a bit due to timing of projects. The graphs highlight that the Township is watching the budget and closely monitoring the expenditures in accordance with the revenues. There is approximately five months' worth of expenditures in the unassigned fund balance available for Township operational purposes which is a very healthy place to be. Other funds discussed were police, fire, solid waste, et cetera. The biggest expenditure source is public safety. The Water Fund saw an operating revenue increase and the operating expenses decreased due to less maintenance and operating type expenses.

The letter to the Board of Trustees stated that we did not need to deviate from our plan. The main recommendation made concerned the new software the Township obtained. The software contains a very good forecasting tool which allows you to predict how capital improvements will affect your fund balance.

Peter Piccinato. He questioned whether the Sewer Fund was addressed in the audit. He was informed all the information was broken down and is available on the state website as well as in the Trustee's financial statement packet.

PUBLIC HEARING

A. CASTLEWOOD SANITARY SEWER MAIN SAD

A1. RESOLUTION #16-010, TO CONFIRM THE ASSESSMENT ROLL FOR CASTLEWOOD, BAYVIEW, PARSHALL, OAKFORD AND OXBOW LAKE ROAD (PART OF)

Clerk Lilley received a letter from Daniel Fatu and Carolyn Williams requesting their parcels be combined. Ms. Smith has allowed combining the parcels which may have an effect on the Special Assessment. Another woman indicated that she came to the Board on a previous occasion and felt the Board made a determination that her lot was unbuildable but there is

nothing in the Minutes to reflect that.

Mr. Lilley is aware everyone is anxious to move the project forward. By way of example, if the Board were to eliminate one parcel from the special assessment it could raise the payment by approximately \$60 a year to the remaining members. The bonding attorney's opinion was if the assessment amount was changed, the Board should postpone the hearing tonight and provide a more accurate number at the next hearing. If we move forward with the project, we should not change the assessment costs and indicate that at some point the bond resolution will have to absorb the exempted parcels. Also, we need to find out the number of residents desiring to hook up to obtain bonding for that.

Trustee Powell had a concern regarding combining Lots #102 & #113. The resident states that because a septic field exists on Lot #102 it is unbuildable but that isn't necessarily the case. Also, by combining these, the state says you shouldn't create any parcel with a more than one on four lot width to depth ratio. It his personal opinion there isn't a valid reason to eliminate that lot from the SAD process. He questioned the reason for Parcel #12-34-331-015 being deemed unbuildable and was informed the Road Commission would have to put a driveway access through there. The question is whether they can get legal access to their lot.

It was MOVED by Treasurer Roman, SUPPORTED by Clerk Lilley to Open Public Hearing on the Castlewood Sanitary Sewer Main SAD. The MOTION PASSED by a voice vote (7 yes votes).

Jerry Johnstone, Castlewood. What are the parcels the Township is dropping? He was informed it was Parcel #12-34-331-015.

Brad Connell, 1244 Castlewood. In regards to the two parcels requesting to be combined, if the sewer comes through it is irrelevant if one lot has a septic field on it as they could then hook up to sewer.

Cathy Callen, 10565 Parshall. She questioned whether the lots they are considering to be unbuildable are the ones next door to her house. She spoke to Mike McAdams who said her lots were not buildable and would not be included in the SAD. They are basically under water. She received two letters, one for her home and one for the lot next door.

Jeanine Smith, Assessor. The letter should have the parcel number on the envelope. If she received the letter, that parcel is part of the assessment.

Ms. Callen. She did come in to the Township when the letters first came out and before the issue was voted on. She spoke with Mr. McAdams and was told the lots were unbuildable. She was not aware it was not removed until she received the most recent SAD notice. Will she have another opportunity to come in to have the parcel removed?

Clerk Lilley stated that both notices the residents received require they appear in person or in writing to the Township Board to let us know of any objection. Just speaking to a Township employee isn't enough.

Atty. Hamameh advised the time for her to speak up would have been at the second step, when the Township determined the parcels to be included in the district. There was a public hearing held at that time. That is when she was to lodge her objection. This is now the third and final step in the process where the numbers are being confirmed. From here, there is an appeal process at the Tax Tribunal available.

Arnie Campbell, 1170 Castlewood. For the residents that want to hook up, will there be a rebonding for 10 years to allocate that cost?

Mr. Connell was under the impression that another letter was going out for the separate SAD to address the issue of hooking up.

Shirley Cummins, Parshall. No one is communicating with the residents. We're the ones that are footing the bill. We're the ones involved. We hear nothing. We got a letter in the mail that there would be a public hearing, and a second letter for a public hearing but there is nothing that says you'll be able to eliminate your septic, what the cost will be, will we be getting water. How is this going to affect us other than the fact that my taxes are going up for ten years? The people don't understand what is happening. We aren't getting any communication.

Trustee Powell stated that only the people that want to connect will be included in the hook-up SAD. It is something the Township needs to know so the funds will be available when the sewer is done. The people who are interested need to sign a petition which can be done by someone going door to door through their community and/or placing a petition in the Township Hall with the residents coming in to sign it.

Supervisor Baroni stated that Trustee Powell himself, the sewer director and engineering company were involved in at least two open public meetings that were held to inform the residents of the process.

Ms. Callen signed the petition which came around the first time asking if we were interested. At the first meeting nothing was said about what the process was but that we were going to look at the finances once it's been bid on, what the bid is going to be and then we'll get back with the residents. Never was it said there had to be another petition to hook-up. Her understanding was once bids were put in, we would know how much money it was, then we would talk about who was or wasn't going to hook up.

Clerk Lilley stated it was his understanding that people of their community needed to show an interest, which is contacting the Sewer Department. If there was a significant amount of people interested, Mr. McAdams would put on additional meetings for people that were interested in the hook-up. We need to know how many are interested so Mr. McAdams can conduct a meeting to explain the additional costs of connection and answer questions.

John Campbell. He is willing to take the hook-up SAD petition around to the community. When he spoke with Mr. McAdams, he was informed there were eight to 11 residents interested.

Brad Collins, 1244 Castlewood. Currently, if you want to tap in, you're going to want to be on that first bond. It's not going to be a separate bond issue which is the impression he was under at the last meeting. All this will be under one bond for both SADs.

Jerry Johnstone. We've got our eggs on a plate and we're going to scramble them up? We have one complaint. What makes us think we're going to do another SAD on the hook-up? I'm a property owner. I don't have a house there.

Resident, Estola Street. Are they going to come down our road with sewers? He was informed Estola is included in the project.

Clerk Lilley clarified the purpose of the bond is borrow the money so people can pay back over a period of 10 years. If we don't, you will be required to pay cash at the time of your connection. That is the advantage that the Township wants to provide for you. We are not forcing that. If you want a connection and a 10 year payment program, the Township can offer that but we need to borrow the appropriate funds to do it.

Pat Ives, Oakford. If we decide to do the connection, will we get a ballpark estimate of what this is going to cost? He was informed a letter will be sent notifying the residents of the amounts.

Resident. Is there any reason to think that the cost estimates given for the connection SAD will go up or down or were they fairly accurate? When the sewers come down the road, how will that affect traffic and getting around in our area?

Trustee Powell stated the original estimates have not changed. As far as installation of the sewers, there will a hole at one end of the street, a hole at the other end, it will be put in underground and you won't know what happened. This is the least disruptive way to get sanitary sewers in.

Patty Leonard, 10570 Estola Street. She has five lots and was told she would only get billed

one time because her other property is not buildable. Mr. McAdams told her they were not buildable. She is objecting tonight because she received four letters.

Cathy Callen. She was expecting her parcel to not be on the list. Whoever included her parcel on the list, more blame should be on them than her.

Trustee Powell stated if too many parcels are taken out, it will affect the overall assessed value which might prompt the need to re-advertise and start the hearing process over again. Those that are objecting, how can it officially be made known to the Board they have an objection? If we were to confirm that roll tonight, would it not be prudent for them to not rely on the accuracy of the Minutes and submit a letter to the Township? Is there any other means of recourse?

Atty. Hamameh responded they have made it official by appearing here tonight and providing their name and address which will appear in the Minutes. They have 30 days to appeal from the day the roll is confirmed. Once the roll is confirmed, the only way to modify the assessment is to appeal it to the Tax Tribunal.

Jerry Johnstone. For the lady complaining about her parcel being under water, she can go to the DEQ and get it deemed a wetland which would take her right out of the project.

Resident, 1212 Castlewood. On the Agenda, there is no mention of Estola. Is that a typo? He was informed that Estola Street, although not listed on the Agenda, is considered to be part of the Castlewood project.

It was MOVED by Treasurer Roman, SUPPORTED by Trustee Kowall to Close Public Hearing on the Castlewood Sanitary Sewer Main SAD. The MOTION PASSED by a voice vote (7 yes votes).

Dorothy Threlkel, 1162 Castlewood. Will we get a notice in the mail of this special meeting coming up? Will Mike McAdams be there since a lot of questions were directed that he should have been here to answer today?

It was MOVED by Treasurer Roman, SUPPORTED by Clerk Lilley to Postpone to the next Special Board Meeting, Resolution #16-010, to Confirm the Assessment Roll for Castlewood, Bayview, Parshall, Oakford and Oxbow Lake Road (Part of). The MOTION PASSED by a voice vote (7 yes votes).

(Whereupon a brief recess was taken from 8:53 p.m. to 9:00 p.m.)

B. NORDIC DRIVE SANITARY SEWER SADB1. RESOLUTION #16-011, TO CONFIRM THE ROLL FOR A SPECIAL ASSESSMENT

DISTRICT FOR INSTALLATION OF SANITARY SEWER

It was MOVED by Clerk Lilley, SUPPORTED by Trustee Kowall to Open Public Hearing on Nordic Drive Sanitary Sewer SAD. The MOTION PASSED by a voice vote (7 yes votes).

There were no public comments at this time.

It was MOVED by Clerk Lilley, SUPPORTED by Trustee Kowall to Close Public Hearing on Nordic Drive Sanitary Sewer SAD. The MOTION PASSED by a voice vote (7 yes votes).

It was MOVED by Clerk Lilley, SUPPORTED by Trustee Voorheis to approve Resolution #16-011, to Confirm the Roll for Special Assessment District for Installation of Sanitary Sewer. The MOTION PASSED by a voice vote (7 yes votes).

C. NORDIC DRIVE WATER MAIN SAD

C1. RESOLUTION #16-012, TO CONFIRM THE ROLL FOR A SPECIAL ASSESSMENT DISTRICT FOR INSTALLATION OF WATER MAIN

It was MOVED by Treasurer Roman, SUPPORTED by Trustee Kowall to Open Public Hearing on Nordic Drive Water Main SAD. The MOTION PASSED by a voice vote (7 yes votes).

There were no public comments at this time.

It was MOVED by Treasurer Roman, SUPPORTED by Trustee Kowall to Close Public Hearing on Nordic Drive Water Main SAD. The MOTION PASSED by a voice vote (7 yes votes).

It was MOVED by Clerk Lilley, SUPPORTED by Treasurer Roman to approve Resolution #16-012, to Confirm the Roll for a Special Assessment District for Installation of Water Main. The MOTION PASSED by a voice vote (7 yes votes).

OLD BUSINESS

A. EMPLOYEE REQUEST TO PURCHASE MERS CREDIT

It was MOVED by Treasurer Roman, SUPPORTED by Trustee Powell to Deny the Employee Request to Purchase MERS Credit. The MOTION PASSED by a voice vote (7 yes votes).

B. REQUEST FROM RESIDENT REGARDING PROPERTY LOCATED AT BENNINGTON AND WILLIAMS LAKE ROAD

Clerk Lilley advised that currently the request that is being proposed to the Board is in violation of the Township Ordinance. There was discussion at the last meeting that a proposed change

to the ordinance language would be brought to the Board. Also, there would be a stipulation on the property that if in the future the Township were to initiate a SAD the resident would volunteer to participate in that. It may take at least another month to get the ordinance language together which was one of the reasons to postpone this item.

Trustee Powell commented that the ordinance is written as a guideline to establish a process. This Township Board has the ability to waive any irregularities due to hardship or practical difficulty. It is improper if we have identified a fault in our ordinance and can eliminate the hardship through a temporary contractual agreement.

It was MOVED by Trustee Kowall, SUPPORTED by Trustee Powell to approve the request from resident regarding property located at Bennington and Williams Lake Rd., Parcel #12-36-200-006, authorizing the Supervisor to negotiate, enter into and sign an agreement while waiting for future change to the ordinance language and the resident voluntarily agreeing to participate in a future SAD. The MOTION PASSED by a roll call vote (Ruggles-yes/Voorheis-yes/Kowall-yes/Powell-yes/Roman-no, as he is uncomfortable with the process, preferring to make changes to the ordinance first/Lilley-no, as the appropriate process is to first change the ordinance language).

NEW BUSINESS

C. APPROVAL OF CHANGES TO THE SENIOR TRANSPORTATION RULES AND REGULATION POLICY

It was MOVED by Clerk Lilley, SUPPORTED by Treasurer Roman to approve the Changes to the Senior Transportation Rules and Regulation Policy. The MOTION PASSED by a voice vote (7 yes votes).

D. FIRST READING, AMENDMENT TO FEE ORDINANCE 129, SECTION 31

It was MOVED by Clerk Lilley, SUPPORTED by Trustee Powell to move to Second Reading, Amendment to Fee Ordinance 129, Section 31. The MOTION PASSED by a voice vote (7 yes votes).

E. REQUEST TO PURSUE LEGAL ACTION REGARDING 2255 PORTER ROAD

It was MOVED by Trustee Ruggles, SUPPORTED by Trustee Kowall to Postpone Pursuing Legal Action Regarding 2255 Porter Road to discuss other options with the owner. The MOTION PASSED by a voice vote (7 yes votes).

F. BUILDING OFFICIAL REVIEW AND COMMENTS OF ORDINANCE 88 AND

It was MOVED by Clerk Lilley, SUPPORTED by Trustee Voorheis to Receive and File the April 6, 2016 Letter Outlining the Building Official's Review and Comments of Ordinance 88 and 135. The MOTION PASSED by a voice vote (7 yes votes).

G. JOHNSON & ANDERSON (ENG.) WELL HOUSE AUDIT AND WATER SYSTEM OPERATIONAL PLAN

It was MOVED by Trustee Kowall, SUPPORTED by Clerk Lilley to approve the Johnson & Anderson (Eng.) Well House Audit and Water System Operational Plan, not to exceed the amount of \$51,000. The MOTION PASSED by a voice vote (7 yes votes).

H. FOURTH AMENDMENT TO PURCHASE AGREEMENT AND ESCROW INSTRUCTIONS, REDWOOD/WORTHINGTON CROSSING

It was MOVED by Clerk Lilley, SUPPORTED by Trustee Kowall to recuse Trustee Powell from participation in discussion and voting on Item 11H. The MOTION PASSED by a roll call vote (Kowall-yes/Voorheis-yes/Ruggles-yes/Roman-yes/Lilley-yes/Baroni-yes).

It was MOVED by Treasurer Roman, SUPPORTED by Trustee Kowall to approve the Fourth Amendment to Purchase Agreement and Escrow Instructions, Redwood/Worthington Crossing. The MOTION PASSED by a voice vote (6 yes votes).

I. FOX BAY SUBDIVISION, CLEAN UP LOTS #15 & #31

Clerk Lilley advised that the property in the Fox Bay Subdivision, Lots 15 & 31, look terrible. He suggested the Township take care of cleaning up the brush, trees and get rid of the debris. Also, to consider as a future project possibly have Colony Heights create a SAD to clean up and reengineer the retention pond across the street. This is just being brought to the Board's attention as an FYI item at this time.

J. RESOLUTION #16-008, TO APPROVE AGREEMENT WITH OAKLAND COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE FOR MARINE PATROL SERVICES AND APPROVAL OF AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE TOWNSHIP AND ASSOCIATIONS

Atty. Hamameh stated in the Board packet there are three documents, the agreement with the Sheriff's Dept., an agreement intended to be signed by the requesting association, and a resolution. The way it was handled in past is when an association came forward and requested marine patrol services, the Township entered into an agreement with the county. The intent of this item was to have the contract in place but at this time there is no lake association requesting the services. If you approve this resolution, it needs to be modified by removing paragraph 3. This resolution specifically conditions the supervisor's authority to sign that

contract after he has a contract with the association signed and money paid. If you are changing the process, then this resolution will need to be modified to remove that condition.

It was MOVED by Trustee Ruggles, SUPPORTED by Trustee Kowall to approve Resolution #16-008, Agreement with Oakland County Sheriff's Office for Marine Patrol Services and Approval of Agreement Between the Township and Associations, as modified by suggestions of Atty. Hamameh, removing from Resolution #16-008 the second half of Paragraph 2 and all of Paragraph 3. The MOTION PASSED by a voice vote (7 yes votes).

It was MOVED by Treasurer Roman, SUPPORTED by Trustee Kowall to authorize the Township Supervisor to approve agreements between Lake Boards and the Township in regard to Sheriff Patrol Services. The MOTION PASSED by a voice vote (7 yes votes).

K. CONTRACTS 5, 6, 8

Treasurer Roman suggested the Township Board discuss Item 11K, Contracts 5, 6 & 8, in a separate Special Meeting on May 3, 2016 at 7:00 p.m. with May 12, 2016 as a second option.

L. RESOLUTION APPROVING SUBMISSION OF BOND PROPOSITION TO ELECTORS

It was MOVED by Treasurer Roman, SUPPORTED by Trustee Voorheis to approve the Resolution Approving Submission of Bond Proposition to Electors. The MOTION PASSED by a voice vote (7 yes votes).

M. RESOLUTION #16-009, WEST NILE VIRUS EXPENSE REIMBURSEMENT REQUEST

It was MOVED by Clerk Lilley, SUPPORTED by Trustee Kowall to approve Resolution #16-009, West Nile Virus Expense Reimbursement Request in the amount of \$8976.20. The MOTION PASSED by a voice vote (7 yes votes).

TRUSTEE COMMENTS

Trustee Powell advised the Board that the Worthington project is scheduled to start construction in June. The last holdout being the ITC easements, of which the sanitary and water for that project run across. Also, he updated the Board on the pathway project which will run potentially from Springfield Township down through our community on the ITC corridor, across Commerce Twp. down to an east/west pathway that is proposed to go all the way from Lake Huron to Lake Michigan. Commerce Twp. has a major meeting with their Parks & Rec on April 26tth which he will attend. The Parks and Rec Committees said that it makes sense for each community to appoint a representative to a subcommittee to pull together all the more technical designs before it is presented to ITC.

Treasurer Roman thanked Trustee Powell for speaking to the residents regarding the Castlewood project. His expertise is very much appreciated.

Trustee Voorheis stated the Parks and Rec Committee is scheduled to meet next Tuesday to go over the Fisk Farm Master Plan.

Trustee Kowall stated the Planning Commission's biggest project is the Redwood/Worthington project. Kroger is coming along nicely. Modern Messages plan was approved. Ivy Glen is coming along. Cedar Meadows is also moving forward.

EXECUTIVE SESSION

A. APPROVAL TO RECESS INTO CLOSED SESSION TO DISCUSS TRIAL AND SETTLEMENT STRATEGIES IN CONNECTION WITH LITIGATION IN THE CASE OF WHITE LAKE TOWNSHIP VS. MUNRO & FRANKLIN, INC., et al, OAKLAND COUNTY CIRCUIT COURT CASE NO. 2016-152176-CZ, IN ACCORDANCE WITH MCL 15.268 (e)

It was MOVED by Clerk Lilley, SUPPORTED by Treasurer Roman to approve adjourning into Executive Closed Session to Discuss Trial and Settlement Strategies in Connection with Litigation in the Case of White Lake Township vs. Munro & Franklin, Inc., et al, Oakland County Circuit Court Case No. 2016-152176-CZ, in accordance with MCL 15.268 (e). The MOTION PASSED by a roll call vote (Powell-yes/Kowall-yes/Voorheis-yes/Ruggles-yes/Roman-yes/Lilley-yes/Baroni-yes).

B. APPROVAL TO RECESS INTO CLOSED SESSION TO CONSIDER PURCHASE OF REAL PROPERTY IN ACCORDANCE WITH MCL 15.268 (d).

It was MOVED by Clerk Lilley, SUPPORTED by Treasurer Roman to approve adjourning into Executive Closed Session to Consider Purchase of Real Property in accordance with MCL 15.268 (d). The MOTION PASSED with a roll call vote (Roman-yes/Lilley-yes/Baroni-yes/Powell-yes/Kowall-yes/Voorheis-yes/Ruggles-yes.)

It was MOVED by Treasurer Roman, SUPPORTED by Trustee Kowall to return to general session. The MOTION PASSED by a voice vote (7 yes votes).

It was MOVED by Trustee Kowall, SUPPORTED by Treasurer Roman to adjourn. The MOTION PASSED by a voice vote (7 yes votes).

The meeting was adjourned into Executive Session at 11:13 p.m.

I, Terry Lilley, the duly elected and qualified Clerk of the Charter Township of White Lake, County of Oakland, State of Michigan, herby certify that the foregoing is a true copy of the April 19, 2016 regular board meeting minutes.

Terry Lilley, Clerk

White Lake Township

Oakland County, Michigan